A note on homework output

Your output should match the spec **exactly**.

- Computers are dumb and don’t know what you mean
- We can’t anticipate your possible enhancements
Errors and exceptions
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Kinds of errors

- Static (compile-time) errors
  - Syntax errors
  - Semantic (type) errors
  - Linker errors

- Dynamic (run-time) errors
  - Logic errors (bugs)
  - User and environment errors
Static versus dynamic errors

**Static** happens at build time

**Dynamic** happens at run time
Static versus dynamic errors

Static  happens at build time
Dynamic  happens at run time

Consequently, programs with static errors can’t be run!
Syntax errors

When the program doesn’t have the correct form for a program. Examples:

- Unmatches bracket or parenthesis
- Missing or extraneous semicolon
- A reserved word used where an identifier is required
Semantic errors

When something doesn’t make sense. Examples:

- Calling a function that hasn’t been declared
- Calling a two-argument function with three arguments
- Using an `int` where a `string` is required
Linker errors

When some promised definitions are still missing at the end of the build process

(This will make more sense later)
Logic errors

When the programmer gets something wrong. Examples:

- Integer divide-by-zero
- Array out-of-range error
- Crashes when attempting to render two tables side-by-side
User and environment errors

When the user does something wrong, or the environment isn’t in the required state. Examples:

- Attempting to open a file that doesn’t exist
- The network being down
- Clicking in a modally-inactive window
What should we do in case of error?

It depends:

**Programmer errors**  All is lost! So probably crashing is best

**User/env. errors**  Be user-friendly! Allow the user to recover
What should we do in case of error?

It depends:

**Programmer errors**  All is lost! So probably crashing is best*

**User/env. errors**  Be user-friendly! Allow the user to recover

* unless it’s required to be robust (like a flight control system)
What should we do in case of error?

It depends:

**Programmer errors**  All is lost! So probably crashing is best*

**User/env. errors**  Be user-friendly! Allow the user to recover†

* unless it’s required to be robust (like a flight control system)
† unless the programmer is the user and the user doesn’t care
Example logic error

// Computes the mean value of a vector
double mean(vector<double> sample)
{
    double sum = 0;

    for (double element : sample)
        sum += element;

    return sum / sample.size();
}
Example logic error

// Computes the mean value of a vector
double mean(vector<double> sample)
{
    double sum = 0;
    for (double element : sample)
        sum += element;
    return sum / sample.size();
}

Now suppose mean is called with an empty vector…
Whose job is it to prevent this?

Options:

- The author of mean

(the service)
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(the client)
Whose job is it to prevent this?

Options:

● The author of **mean** (the service)
● The author of the code that calls **mean** (the client)
● Both!
What the client should do

Try not to call `mean` with an empty vector!
What the client should do

Try not to call **mean** with an empty vector!

If the empty data set is coming from the user (or a file), the client should present an error message and allow the user to recover
What the service should do

Several options:

- Just return nonsense
- Crash the program
- Throw an exception
- Declare a precondition (and one of the above)
Just return nonsense!

// Computes the mean value of a vector
double mean(vector<double> sample)
{
    double sum = 0;
    for (double element : sample)
        sum += element;
    return sum / sample.size();
}
// Computes the mean value of a vector
double mean(vector<double> sample)
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Pros:
- It’s fast
- It’s simple

Cons:
- Hard to debug
// Computes the mean value of a vector
// PRECONDITION: !sample.empty()
double mean(vector<double> sample)
{
    double sum = 0;
    for (double element : sample) sum += element;
    return sum / sample.size();
}
// Computes the mean value of a vector
// PRECONDITION: !sample.empty()

double mean(vector<double> sample)
{
    double sum = 0;
    for (double element : sample) sum += element;
    return sum / sample.size();
}

Pros:
- It’s fast
- It’s simple
- It’s clearer

Cons:
- Still hard to debug
#include <cstdlib>

double mean(vector<double> sample) {
    if (sample.empty()) {
        std::cerr << "Empty sample has no mean\n";
        exit(1);
    }
    ...
}

Pros:
- Easier to debug
- Still pretty simple

Cons:
- What if client wants to recover?
- Takes time to check (maybe)
Crash the program

```cpp
#include <cstdlib>

double mean(vector<double> sample)
{
    if (sample.empty()) {
        std::cerr << "Empty sample has no mean\n";
        exit(1);
    }
    ...
}
```

Pros:
- Easier to debug
- Still pretty simple

Cons:
- What if client wants to recover?
- Takes time to check (maybe)
Throw an exception

```cpp
#include <stdexcept>

double mean(vector<double> sample)
{
    if (sample.empty())
        throw std::runtime_error(
            "empty sample has no mean");
}
```
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            "empty sample has no mean");
    
    :
}
```
Throw an exception

```cpp
#include <stdexcept>

double mean(vector<double> sample)
{
    if (sample.empty())
        throw std::runtime_error(
            "empty sample has no mean");
    ...
}
```

Pros:
- Easiest to debug
- Allows client to recover

Cons:
- Takes time to check (maybe)
- More complicated
To the terminal!